

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America

v.

Bradford Darnel Dobbins, a/k/a "Big Brad"

Date of Original Judgment: June 19, 2006

Date of Last Amended Judgment: March 23, 2009

Case No: 5:05CR9-28

USM No: 19981-058

Ross Richardson

Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 145 months is reduced to 116 months

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Original Offense Level: 35

Amended Offense Level: 31

Criminal History Category: IV

Criminal History Category: IV

Original Guideline Range: 235 to 293 months

Amended Guideline Range: 151 to 188 months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.

☒ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.

☐ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.

☒ Other (explain): The defendant received a §5K1.1 reduction, with a waiver under 3553(e), at his original sentencing; therefore, the revised sentence is below the applicable guideline range.

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Upon release from imprisonment, and absent a residential plan accepted by the U.S. Probation Officer prior to release from incarceration, it is ordered that as a condition of supervised release the defendant shall submit to the local Residential Reentry Center for a period not to exceed 90 days, with work release, at the direction of the U.S. Probation Officer.


Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated June 19, 2006, shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: January 24, 2012

Effective Date: January 24, 2012

(if different from order date)



Richard L. Voorhees
United States District Judge

